

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

A. Buku

- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE.
- Finnemore, M. (1996). *National Interests in International Society*. Cornell University Press.
- Katzenstein, P. J. (Ed.). (1996). *The Culture of National Security: Norms and Identity in World Politics*. Columbia University Press.
- Kuru, A. T. (2009). *Secularism and State Policies toward Religion: The United States, France, and Turkey*. Cambridge University Press.
- Laborde, C. (2008). *Critical Republicanism: The Hijab Controversy and Political Philosophy*. Oxford University Press.
- McGoldrick, D. (2006). *Human Rights and Religion: The Islamic Headscarf Debate in Europe*. Hart Publishing.
- Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldaña, J. (2019). *Qualitative Data Analysis: A Methods Sourcebook* (4th ed.). SAGE.
- Wendt, A. (1999). *Social Theory of International Politics*. Cambridge University Press.
- Wiener, A. (2008). *The Invisible Constitution of Politics: Contested Norms and International Encounters*. Cambridge University Press.
- Wiener, A. (2014). *A Theory of Contestation*. Springer.

B. Artikel Jurnal

- Abdelgadir, A., & Fouka, V. (2020). Political secularism and Muslim integration in the West: Assessing the effects of the French headscarf ban. *American Political Science Review*, 114(3), 707–723. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055420000106>
- Acharya, A. (2004). How ideas spread: Whose norms matter? Norm localization and institutional change in Asian regionalism. *International Organization*, 58(2), 239–275. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818304582024>
- Barnett, M., & Duvall, R. (2005). Power in international politics. *International Organization*, 59(1), 39–75. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818305050010>
- Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. *Qualitative Research Journal*, 9(2), 27–40. <https://doi.org/10.3316/QRJ0902027>
- Bowen, J. R. (2004). Does French Islam have borders? Dilemmas of domestication in a global religious field. *American Anthropologist*, 106(1), 43–55. <https://doi.org/10.1525/aa.2004.106.1.43>
- Checkel, J. T. (1998). The constructivist turn in international relations theory. *World Politics*, 50(2), 324–348. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043887100008133>
- Cox, N. (2022). Pejorative assertions, human rights evaluation, and European veiling laws. *American Journal of Comparative Law*, 70(4), 695–735. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcl/avad012>
- Cumper, P., & Lewis, T. (2018). Empathy and human rights: The case of religious dress. *Human Rights Law Review*, 18(1), 61–87. <https://doi.org/10.1093/hrlr/ngx046>

- El Yattouti, N. (2024). On turbans, abayas, and colanders: The scope of religious dress in a pluralistic society. *Oxford Journal of Law and Religion*, rwae008. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ojlr/rwae008>
- Evolvi, G., & Gatti, M. (2021). Proselytism and ostentation: A critical discourse analysis of the European Court of Human Rights' case law on religious symbols. *Journal of Religion in Europe*, 14, 162–188. <https://doi.org/10.1163/18748929-20211524>
- Finnemore, M., & Sikkink, K. (1998). International norm dynamics and political change. *International Organization*, 52(4), 887–917. <https://doi.org/10.1162/002081898550789>
- Idriss, M. M. (2005). Laïcité and the banning of the 'hijab' in France. *Legal Studies*, 25(2), 260–295. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-121X.2005.tb00615.x>
- Joppke, C. (2007). State neutrality and Islamic headscarf laws in France and Germany. *Theory and Society*, 36(4), 313–342. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11186-007-9036-y>
- Krasner, S. D. (1982). Structural causes and regime consequences: Regimes as intervening variables. *International Organization*, 36(2), 185–205. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300018920>
- Kuru, A. T. (2008). Secularism, state policies, and Muslims in Europe: Analyzing French exceptionalism. *Comparative Politics*, 41(1), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.5129/001041508X12911362383552>
- Laborde, C. (2012). State paternalism and religious dress code. *International Journal of Constitutional Law*, 10(2), 398–410. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icon/mos014>
- Lizotte, C. (2020). Laïcité as assimilation, laïcité as negotiation: Political geographies of secularism in the French public school. *Political Geography*, 77, 102121. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polgeo.2019.102121>
- Molbæk-Steensig, H. (2023). Subsidiarity does not win cases: A mixed methods study of the relationship between margin of appreciation language and deference at the European Court of Human Rights. *Leiden Journal of International Law*, 36(1), 83–107. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0922156522000565>
- Mowbray, A. (2015). Subsidiarity and the European Convention on Human Rights. *Human Rights Law Review*, 15(2), 313–341. <https://doi.org/10.1093/hrlr/ngv002>
- Pearson, M. (2021). What happened to 'vivre ensemble?': Developments after S.A.S. v. France. *Oxford Journal of Law and Religion*, 10(2), 185–205. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ojlr/rwab017>
- Suchman, M. C. (1995). Managing legitimacy: Strategic and institutional approaches. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(3), 571–610. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1995.9508080331>
- Valero-Estarellas, M.-J. (2023). Neutrality, Margin of Appreciation and religious autonomy: Advancing pluralism and non-discrimination in Strasbourg. *Revue du droit des religions*, 15, 161–175. <https://doi.org/10.4000/rdr.2131>
- Wendt, A. (1992). Anarchy is what states make of it: The social construction of power politics. *International Organization*, 46(2), 391–425. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300027764>

C. Sumber Web

- Conseil d'État. (2023). Ordonnance du 7 septembre 2023, Association Action Droits des Musulmans, No. 487891.
- Conseil d'État. (2023). Ordonnance du 25 septembre 2023, Association La Voix Lycéenne, No. 487896.
- Conseil d'État. (2024). Décision du 27 septembre 2024, Association La Voix Lycéenne et autres, Nos. 487944, 487974 et 489177.

- Council of Europe. (1950). Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, as amended.
- Council of Europe. (2013). Protocol No. 15 amending the Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms.
- France. (1905). Loi du 9 décembre 1905 concernant la séparation des Églises et de l'État.
- France. (2004). Loi n° 2004-228 du 15 mars 2004 encadrant, en application du principe de laïcité, le port de signes ou de tenues manifestant une appartenance religieuse dans les écoles, collèges et lycées publics.
- France. (n.d.). Code de l'éducation, article L.141-5-1.
- Ministère de l'Éducation nationale. (2004). Circulaire du 18 mai 2004 relative à la mise en œuvre de la loi n° 2004-228 du 15 mars 2004.
- Ministère de l'Éducation nationale et de la Jeunesse. (2023). Note de service du 31 août 2023: Principe de laïcité à l'École – Respect des valeurs de la République.
- European Court of Human Rights. (2005). Leyla Şahin v. Turkey [GC], Application No. 44774/98.
- European Court of Human Rights. (2008). Dogru v. France, Application No. 27058/05.
- European Court of Human Rights. (2008). Kervanci v. France, Application No. 31645/04.
- European Court of Human Rights. (2013). Eweida and Others v. the United Kingdom, Applications Nos. 48420/10, 59842/10, 51671/10, and 36516/10.
- European Court of Human Rights. (2014). S.A.S. v. France [GC], Application No. 43835/11.
- European Court of Human Rights. (2015). Ebrahimian v. France, Application No. 64846/11.
- European Court of Human Rights. (n.d.). HUDOC case-law database. Penelusuran terakhir 30 Juni 2026.
- Vie publique. (2024). École: les lois Ferry de 1881 et 1882.

Nadiah Imani, 2026

LAÏCITÉ DAN IDENTITAS DIRI DALAM REZIM HAM EROPA: ANALISIS KEBIJAKAN PELARANGAN ABAYA DI PRANCIS (2023–2025)

UPN Veteran Jakarta, Fakultas Ilmu Sosial dan Ilmu Politik, S1 Ilmu Hubungan Internasional

[www.upnvj.ac.id – www.library.upnvj.ac.id – www.repository.upnvj.ac.id]