

REFERENCES

Books

- Babbie, E. (2014). *The practice of social research* (13th ed.). Cengage Learning.
- Carlsnaes, W., Risse, T., & Simmons, B. A. (Eds.). (2013). *Handbook of international relations* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.
<https://doi.org/10.4135/9781446244595>
- Jackson, R. H., & Sørensen, G. (1999). *Introduction to international relations*. Oxford University Press.
- Mead, W. R. (2001). *Special providence: American foreign policy and how it changed the world*. Alfred A. Knopf.
- O'Brien, R. C. (Ed.). (2020, November). *Trump on China: Putting America first*. Executive Office of the President.
<https://trumpwhitehouse.archives.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/Trump-on-China-Putting-America-First.pdf>
- Overholt, W. H. (2008). Introduction: The inertia of foreign policies. In *Asia, America, and the transformation of geopolitics* (pp. 1–10). Cambridge University Press.
- Somekh, B., & Lewin, C. (2005). *Research methods in the social sciences* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Waltz, K. N. (1979). *Theory of international politics*. McGraw-Hill.

Journal Articles

- Abboushi, S. (2010). Trade protectionism: Reasons and outcomes. *Competitiveness Review: An International Business Journal*, 20(5), 384–394. <https://doi.org/10.1108/10595421011080760>
- Adeyeri, A., & Abroshan, H. (2024). Geopolitical ramifications of cybersecurity threats: State responses and international cooperations in the digital warfare era. *Information*, 15(11), 682.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/info15110682>
- Badawi, H. (2025). America's unilateral shift: The evolution of US foreign policy from liberal hegemony to a rogue superpower. *The Review of International Affairs*, 76(1195), 367–398.
https://doi.org/10.18485/iipe_ria.2025.76.1195.1

- Bryan, J. D., & Tama, J. (2021). The prevalence of bipartisanship in U.S. foreign policy: An analysis of important congressional votes. *International Politics*, 59(5), 874–897. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41311-021-00348-7>
- Çakırca, B. (2021). Neoklasik Realizmin "Dış Politikayı" Açıklama Gücü [The explanatory power of Neoclassical Realism on "Foreign Policy"]. *Anemon Muş Alparslan Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi*, 9(1), 231–239. <https://doi.org/10.18506/ANEMON.819231>
- Firoozabadi, J. D., & Ashkezari, M. Z. (2016). Neo-classical realism in international relations. *Asian Social Science*, 12(6), 95. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ass.v12n6p95>
- Fortunada, J. A., Mardialina, M., & Rizki, K. (2021). Analisis Keputusan Amerika Serikat dalam Mengeluarkan Kebijakan Countering America's Adversaries Through Sanctions Act (CAATSA) terhadap Rusia. *Indonesian Journal of Global Discourse*, 3(2), 83–101. <https://ijgd.unram.ac.id/index.php/ijgd/article/view/37>
- Friedman, J. A. (2024). The myth of a bipartisan golden age for U.S. foreign policy: The Truman-Eisenhower consensus remains. *International Security*, 49(2), 97–134. https://doi.org/10.1162/isec_a_00497
- Hamdani, M., & Belfencha, I. (2024). Strategic implications of the US-China semiconductor rivalry. *Discover Global Society*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44282-024-00081-5>
- Hanska, J. (2014). Popular culture, US security policy, and the Asian pivot: Reading Pacific Rim as a justification of American global presence. *International Political Sociology*, 8(3), 323–336. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21624887.2014.950086>
- Harbridge-Yong, L., Volden, C., & Wiseman, A. E. (2023). The bipartisan path to effective lawmaking. *The Journal of Politics*, 85(3), 1048–1063. <https://doi.org/10.1086/723805>
- Hufbauer, G. C., Berliner, D. T., & Elliott, K. A. (1986). *Trade protection in the United States: 31 case studies*. Institute for International Economics. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tie.5060280210>
- Karaca, B. B. (2022). The analysis of Turkish foreign policy in Syria: A neoclassical realist perspective. *Przegląd Strategiczny*, 15, 43–58. <https://doi.org/10.14746/ps.2022.1.3>
- Keohane, R. O., & Nye, J. S., Jr. (1973). Power and interdependence. *Survival*, 15(4), 158–165. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00396337308441409>
- Kitchen, N. (2010). Systemic pressures and domestic ideas: A neoclassical realist model of grand strategy formation. *Review of International Studies*, 36(1), 117–143. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210509990532>

- Larasati, D. B. (2025). The history of protectionism and free trade in the world: How does it impact Indonesia? *Jurnal Vokasi Indonesia*, 13(1), Article 4. <https://scholarhub.ui.ac.id/jvi/vol13/iss1/4>
- McLean, W. (2015). Understanding divergence between public discourse and Turkish foreign policy practice: A neoclassical realist analysis. *Turkish Studies*, 16(4), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14683849.2015.1096205>
- Meierding, E. (2021). Understanding the mechanisms of international influence in an era of great power competition. *Journal of Global Security Studies*, 6(4). <https://doi.org/10.1093/jogss/ogab011>
- Paek, T. Y. (2004). The anatomy of cultural power: Elements and implications for global politics. *Pacific Focus*, 19(1), 137–168. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1976-5118.2004.tb00305.x>
- Puślecki, Z. W. (2023). Structural modifications of international business theory and policy in the time of Covid-19. *American Journal of Management*, 23(3). <https://doi.org/10.33423/ajm.v23i3.6425>
- Rose, G. (1998). Neoclassical realism and theories of foreign policy. *World Politics*, 51(1), 144–172. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/25054068>
- Setiawan, M. C. A. (2020). The relationship between identity politics and trade protectionism under Donald Trump's administration. *Journal of Political Issues*, 2(1), 58–68. <https://doi.org/10.33019/jpi.v2i1.32>
- Tang, M. (2020). Huawei versus the United States? The geopolitics of extraterritorial Internet infrastructure. *International Journal of Communication*, 14, 4556–4577. <http://ijoc.org/>
- Vasileiadis, P. (2023). Reconstructing neoclassical realism: A transitive approach. *International Relations*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00471178231185747>
- Wendt, A. (1992). Anarchy is what states make of it: The social construction of power politics. *International Organization*, 46(2), 391–425. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S020818300027764>
- Zhang, Q., & von Winckelmann, S. (2022). Huawei: Caught in the whirlwind of the U.S.-China high-tech war. *Journal of Student Research*, 11(4). <https://doi.org/10.47611/jsrhs.v11i4.4152>

Articles from the Internet with Listed Author

- Alper, A. (2023, August 15). U.S. actions against China's Huawei [Graphic]. *Reuters*. Retrieved December 5, 2025, from

<https://www.reuters.com/graphics/USA-CHINA/HUAWEI-TIMELINE/zgvomxwlgvd/>

- Ford, C. A. (2020). *US national security export controls and Huawei: The strategic context in three framings* (T-Paper series). U.S. Department of State.
<https://www.state.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/T-Paper-series-DPR-Formatted-508.pdf>
- Gallagher, J. C. (2022, January 5). *U.S. restrictions on Huawei technologies: National security, foreign policy, and economic interests* (Report No. R47012). Congressional Research Service.
- Galster, G. (1996). *The challenges for policy research in a changing environment*. Urban Institute.
<https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/publication/70221/306772-The-Challenges-for-Policy-Research-in-a-Changing-Environment.PDF>
- Kısacık, S. (2018). *Turkish foreign policy-making vis-à-vis the Ukraine crisis: From Sevres Syndrome and Russophobia perspective* [Master's thesis, Okan University]. ResearchGate.
<https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.18035.17443>
- Markey, E. J., Rubio, M., Eshoo, A. G., & Scalise, S. (2021, November 11). *Markey, Rubio, Eshoo, Scalise statement on signing of Secure Equipment Act* [Press release].
- Nardon, L., & Velliet, H. (2020). *The US-China trade war: What is the outcome after the Trump presidency?* Ifri (French Institute of International Relations).
https://www.ifri.org/sites/default/files/migrated_files/documents/atoms/files/nardon_velliet_us_china_trade_war_2020.pdf
- Noland, M. (2019, June). *Protectionism under Trump: The China shock, intolerance, and the "First White President"* (Working Paper 19-10). Peterson Institute for International Economics.
<https://www.piie.com/publications/working-papers/protectionism-under-trump-china-shock-intolerance-and-first-white>
- Posard, M. N., Marcellino, W., Helmus, T. C., & Feistel, K. (2023). *A house reunited: Prospects for bipartisanship in a divided country* (Perspective PE-A2285-1). RAND Corporation.
<https://www.rand.org/pubs/perspectives/PEA2285-1.html>
- Reuters. (2019, June 18). Senator Rubio targets Huawei over patents. *Reuters*.
<https://www.reuters.com/article/business/senator-rubio-targets-huawei-over-patents-idUSKCN1TI2T3/>

- Rubio, M. (2024, October 16). Marco Rubio: The goal of China's telecom giant Huawei is global domination. *Miami Herald*.
<https://www.miaherald.com/opinion/article294069534.html>
- Sabin, S. (2020, August 25). As TikTok and Huawei restrictions mount, Americans see China as top threat to Silicon Valley's tech dominance. *Morning Consult*.
<https://pro.morningconsult.com/articles/china-us-technology-polling>
- Williams, R. D. (2020, October 30). *Beyond Huawei and TikTok: Untangling US concerns over Chinese tech companies and digital security*. Brookings Institution.
<https://www.brookings.edu/articles/beyond-huawei-and-tiktok-untangling-us-concerns-over-chinese-tech-companies-and-digital-security/>

Articles from the Internet with No Listed Author

- Center for Strategic and International Studies. (n.d.). *A strong majority of national security and business experts favor banning Huawei from the U.S. 5G market*. Mapping the Future of U.S. China Policy.
<https://chinasurvey.csis.org/analysis/experts-favor-banning-huawei-from-us-5g-market/>
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (1985). *OECD Economic Outlook* (Vol. 1985, Issue 1). OECD Publishing.
https://www.oecd.org/content/dam/oecd/en/publications/reports/1985/06/oecd-economic-outlook-volume-1985-issue-1_g1g2e2eb/eco_outlook-v1-985-1-en.pdf
- The Wall Street Journal. (2018, May 3). Pentagon asking military bases to remove Huawei ZTE phones. *The Wall Street Journal*.
<https://www.wsj.com/articles/pentagon-asking-military-bases-to-remove-huawei-zte-phones-1525262076>
- U.S. Department of Justice. (2019, January 28). *Chinese telecommunications conglomerate Huawei and Huawei CFO Wanzhou Meng charged with financial fraud* [Press release].
<https://www.justice.gov/archives/opa/pr/chinese-telecommunications-conglomerate-huawei-and-huawei-cfo-wanzhou-meng-charged-financial>

Interview

- Tama, J. (2025). *Written interview on bipartisanship and the Huawei ban* [Personal communication].

Official Documents / Legal and Government Records

- Biden, J. R. (2021a, May 12). *Executive order on improving the nation's cybersecurity*. The White House. <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/presidential-actions/2021/05/12/executive-order-on-improving-the-nations-cybersecurity/>
- Biden, J. R. (2021b, June 3). *Executive order on addressing the threat from securities investments that finance certain companies of the People's Republic of China*. The White House. <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/presidential-actions/2021/06/03/executive-order-on-addressing-the-threat-from-securities-investments-that-finance-certain-companies-of-the-peoples-republic-of-china/>
- Biden, J. R. (2021c, June 9). *Executive Order 14034: Protecting Americans' sensitive data from foreign adversaries*. *Federal Register*, 86(111). <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2021/06/11/2021-12506/protecting-americans-sensitive-data-from-foreign-adversaries>
- Biden, J. R. (2023). Executive Order 14093: Prohibition on use by the United States government of commercial spyware that poses risks to national security. *Federal Register*, 88(62), 18957. <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2023/03/30/2023-06730/prohibition-on-use-by-the-united-states-government-of-commercial-spyware-that-poses-risks-to>
- Congressional Record, 117th Cong. (2021, October 19). House floor debate on H.R. 3919, Secure Equipment Act of 2021. H5654–H5656. U.S. Government Publishing Office.
- Federal Communications Commission. (2020a). *In the matter of protecting against national security threats to the communications supply chain through the FCC's equipment authorization program* (FCC 20-99). Federal Communications Commission.
- Federal Communications Commission. (2020b, December 11). *Protecting against national security threats to the communications supply chain through FCC programs: Second report and order* (FCC 20-176, WC Docket No. 18-89). Federal Communications Commission.
- Federal Communications Commission. (2022). *Protecting against national security threats to the communications supply chain through the equipment authorization program* (FCC 22-84). Federal Communications Commission.
- Congressional Record, 117th Cong. (2021, October 19). House floor debate on H.R. 3919, Secure Equipment Act of 2021. H5654–H5656. U.S. Government Publishing Office.

QuiverQuantitative. (2021). *Corporate PAC contribution data for Secure Equipment Act sponsors* [Data set]. <https://www.quiverquant.com/>

Secure and Trusted Communications Networks Act of 2019, H.R. 4998, 116th Cong. (2019).
<https://www.congress.gov/bill/116th-congress/house-bill/4998>

Secure Equipment Act of 2021, H.R. 3919, 117th Cong. (2021).

U.S. House of Representatives, Subcommittee on Communications and Technology, Committee on Energy and Commerce. (2021, February 17). *Connecting America: Broadband solutions to pandemic problems* [Hearing transcript] (HHRG-117-IF16). <https://www.congress.gov>